



**TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
URBAN SCHOOLS AGRICULTURAL GRANT PROGRAM  
2013 - 2014 PROPOSAL GRADE SHEET**

TODD STAPLES, COMMISSIONER

Review #:

PROJECT INFORMATION	
<b>Title:</b> <b>«Title_of_Project»</b>	<b>Project No.:</b> <b>«Project_Number»</b>
<b>PLEASE RATE THE FOLLOWING AREAS FROM 1-4, WITH 4 BEING THE HIGHEST SCORE.</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <i>Rate how well the project is designed and the clarity of the objectives.</i>            Objectives &amp; targets are well thought out and will provide positive results (4);            Objectives &amp; targets are sound and will likely provide positive results (3);            Objectives &amp; targets are questionable and may not provide the most benefit (2);            Objectives &amp; targets are unclear and results are questionable (1)         </li> </ul>	<b>1   2   3   4</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <i>Rate the educational benefits this project proposes to provide to the students.</i>            This project could substantially increase awareness of agriculture for urban students (4);            This project will likely have a positive impact on awareness of agriculture for urban students (3);            This project may positively impact awareness of agriculture (2);            It is questionable whether this project will produce awareness of agriculture for the students (1).         </li> </ul>	<b>1   2   3   4</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <i>Rate the agricultural components of this project and how well the project increases the students' understanding of agriculture.</i>            Strong agriculture components and activities are well thought out, appropriate and justifiable (4);            Some agriculture components and activities are good and will likely provide desired results (3);            Some agriculture components and activities are questionable and may not provide the most benefit (2);            Components seem focused on campus beautification and/or activities are unclear and results are questionable (1).         </li> </ul>	<b>1   2   3   4</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <i>Rate the achievability and sustainability of the project.</i>            This project will likely accomplish all of the stated goals (4);            This project may accomplish most or all of the stated goals (3);            This project may accomplish some of the goals (2);            This project will likely not accomplish its goals (1).         </li> </ul>	<b>1   2   3   4</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Rate the level of lasting benefits after the end of the project.</li> </ul>	<b>1   2   3   4</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Rate the reasonableness of the requested budget.</li> </ul>	<b>1   2   3   4</b>

STRENGTHS / WEAKNESSES
<i>NOTE: Comments may be shared with the applicant; any constructive feedback provided is important and will be beneficial for future submissions.</i>
What are some of the strengths and/or weaknesses of this proposal?  <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/>